



City of Casa Grande, Planning & Development Dept., 510 E. Florence Blvd, Casa Grande, AZ 85122

RIGHT OF WAY/PUBLIC EASEMENT ENCROACHMENT PERMIT APPLICATION

(Permit authorizing both short term and long term encroachment of private improvements into public right of way)

(Electronic Version Available at: <http://casagrandeaz.us/dept/planning/building-division/engineering-permits/>)

email applications to DCPermits@casagrandeaz.gov)

1) Type of Use/Encroachment being requested:

- ☐ Below Surface
☐ Above Surface
☐ Surface

2) Specify type of Encroachment being requested:

- ☐ Short Duration Temporary Encroachment _____ days
☐ Structural Encroachment (fence, building, sign, etc.)
☐ Landscaping
☐ Other (Specify) _____

1. PROJECT LOCATION: _____
 (Reference closest streets, alleys, intersections)

2. APN OF THE ADJACENT PROPERTY: _____

3. DESCRIPTION OF WORK: _____

4. STREET CLOSURE REQUIRED (Not including alleys): ☐ Yes Dates: _____ ☐ No

5. APPLICANT/CLIENT:

Name _____

Address _____ City _____ State _____ Zip Code _____

Phone _____ Email Address _____

6. CONTRACTOR:

Company Name: _____ Contact Person: _____

Address: _____ City _____ State _____ Zip Code _____

Phone Number: _____ Email Address: _____

Applicants may receive clarification regarding the specific steps included in processing this application as well as information regarding any code, regulation or policy relevant to the processing of this application by contacting one of the following review Engineers:

Larry Petersen – lpetersen@casagrandeaz.gov, 520-421-8630, Ext. 3320

Cesar Adamos – cadamos@casagrandeaz.gov, 520-421-8630, Ext. 3019

CITY INTAKE:

Date Accepted & Logged In: _____ Intake Staff: _____

Fee Receipt # _____ Expiration Date: _____

Assigned DC Engineer: _____ Assigned PW Inspector: _____

Engineer's Approval: _____ **Date:** _____

Inspected & Accepted by: _____ **Date:** _____

**RIGHT OF WAY/ EASEMENT ENCROACHMENT – APPLICATION INTAKE CHECKLIST**

	Applicant	Engineer
1. Site Plan containing the following information _____	□	_____
a. Property Lines & dimensions.		
b. Name of adjacent streets & alley right of way.		
c. Location of curb, sidewalk, or bench locations & landscaping.		
d. Utility location based upon Blue Stake		
e. Location of any drainage facilities, indication of existing drainage patterns (required for surface use only)		
2. Traffic Control Plan (if applicable) _____	□	_____
3. Road Closure Plan (if applicable) _____	□	_____
4. Application Fees _____	□	_____

FEES DUE AT TIME OF SUBMITTAL:

- Review Fee: \$30.00 plus \$225.00 per civil plan sheet (if applicable)
- Public Works Inspection Fee: 4% of cost of material stored in Right of Way or cost of construction improvements. (\$20.00 minimum)
- Recording Fee of \$7.00 (check made out to Pinal County Clerk & Recorder)

Owner/Applicant Indemnification Statement

I (we) understand that if this Right of Way Encroachment Permit is granted by the City I will be required to maintain liability insurance in the name of the licensee with the City of Casa Grande also named as an insured, if applicable. I (we) agree to be responsible for any and all damages to property, or injury to persons, arising out of the exercise of the permit or the construction, installation or maintenance of any device or structure I place within the public right of way. I (we) agree to indemnify and save harmless the City, and all of its officers, agents and employees from all suits, action or claim of any type brought for or on account of any injuries or damages received or sustained by any person or property related to the exercise of the permit, any act or omission of the permittee, the permittee's agent or employees, or the failure of the permittee to maintain the structure or device or to provide necessary safety precautions. I (we) agree to defend against any suit, action, or claim and pay any judgment with costs, which may be obtained against the City, its officers, agents and employees growing out of the injury or damage.

I understand that this permit may be revoked, and the private improvements removed by the applicant at their expense, if the City determines said improvements conflict with the Public use of the Right of Way or Public Easement.

The signature(s) hereby certify that the statements made by myself and constituting part of this application are true and correct. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial of this application.

Signature of Applicant_____
Date_____
Contact Person Name_____
Email



REGULATORY BILL OF RIGHTS – REVIEW TIMEFRAMES

Completeness & Substantive Review Time Frames¹

Administrative Completeness Review (CR)²	Substantive Review (SR) Stage³			
	Review of Initial Submittal⁴	Staff Decision or Review of Resubmittal^{5, 6}	Staff Decision based upon 2nd Resubmittal	Over-All Timeframe⁷
2 days	30 days	30 days	30 days	62 days

¹All times are maximum timeframes in business days (Mon-Fri.; excluding City Holidays). Shorter review times will be accomplished where possible.

²Completeness Review timeframes are calculated from date of application submittal to date of acceptance or rejection of the application as administratively complete.

³Substantive Review timeframes are calculated from date of acceptance of application for Substantive Review, or upon receipt of re-submittal of revised plans/reports, to the date of issuance of a comprehensive review letter, or final administrative decision.

⁴ Review of initial submittal limited to determination of compliance with ordinances, codes, regulations or policy relevant to the specific permit or project application. The review comments on the initial submittal may be amended to address code/policy requirements that City staff failed to include in the 1st comprehensive review document.

⁵ Issuance of a review letter regarding resubmittal only allowed if applicant consents to extend review timeframe to resolve remaining code/policy compliance issues. Said extension shall not exceed 50% of the over-all timeframe.

⁶ Review of resubmittal shall be limited to:

- a) Addressing 1st review comments that the applicant failed to adequately address in their resubmittal; or
- b) Addressing new review issues arising from modifications the applicant has made to the design and/or technical reports.

⁷Over-All Review timeframe is the sum of the Completeness, Initial & Resubmittal Substantive Review timeframes and does not include any time involved in review of the 2nd Resubmittal. An administrative decision will be made on the permit/project application prior to the end of the Over-All Review timeframe unless the applicant and the City agree to review of a 2nd Resubmittal.

In accordance with the Regulatory Bill of Rights (ARS 9-835) the City of Casa Grande will typically make an administrative decision on each permit application after one (1) comprehensive staff review. However, from time to time a 2nd review is necessary to resolve code/policy compliance issues associated with a permit. In accordance with ARS 9-835.I., by mutual agreement, the applicant and the City may engage in a 2nd review of an application as long as said 2nd review does not exceed the over-all time frame by 50%. The specific 1st and 2nd and over-all review timeframes for this application are provided above. Applicants may sign below, consenting to a 2nd review if necessary, within the stated prescribed timeframe. Your consent is not required at time of application submittal. Applicants who do not sign below will be contacted by City staff if a 2nd review is determined to be necessary prior to making an administrative decision on this application. Applications denied after the completion of the review cycle are eligible for re-application to address the code/policy deficiencies which were the basis for the application denial with the payment of a fee equal to 25% of original application fee amount. Said re-application shall occur within 90 days of the application denial.

I hereby consent to a 2nd review of my application, if necessary, prior to making a final administrative decision.

Applicant